॥ਆਦੇਸੁ ਤਿਸੈ ਆਦੇਸੁ॥

# **ADESH UNIVERSITY**

(Established under Government of Punjab Act.6 of 2012) NH-7, Barnala Road, Bathinda – 151001 Punjab (India) Ph. 0164-5055208, 5055008, 5055203, 5055000 Fax: 0164-5055255, 2742902

Ref. No: AU/MBBS Admission\_2024/08/2024/ 1917

Date: 24/08 2024

#### **Public Notice**

Sub: Interim Order in CWP 20467 of 2024 filed by Adesh University, Bathinda challenging notification issued by Govt. of Punjab for admission to MBBS for the year 2024.

- This is for information of all the aspirants applying for admission to MBBS programme (academic session commencing 2024) at Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research (a constituent college of Adesh University), Bathinda through centralized counseling to be conducted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.
- 2. That, the Adesh University being a self financed private university has challenged the notification issued by Govt. of Punjab vide no. (e723871)MER-HTH305C/9/2024-5HB3/712 dated 09.08.2024 for admission to MBBS programme for the academic session 2024-25 in Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana in CWP 20467 of 2024.
- 3. That, Interim relief has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana on 23.08.2024 as per detail given below:
  - Quote "In the meantime, directions issued by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 18.09.2020 (Annexure P-17) (in paragraphs 10 and 11 in CWP-14902-2020) shall also be applicable to the petitioners in this case" unquote.
- 4. Please note that the fee prescribed for management quota in the notification issued by Govt. of Punjab vide no. (e723871)MER-HTH305C/9/2024-5HB3/712 dated 09.08.2024 shall be charged from the candidates admitted even against Govt. quota seats at Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, (a constituent college of Adesh University) Bathinda.

for Adesh University

Registrar

### CWP-20467-2024

Adesh University and another Vs.
State of Punjab and others

Present:

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Senior Advocate, with

Mr. Akshat Dalal, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that a similar issue is pending consideration in CWP-14902-2020 which was dealing with admissions in the year 2020.

Notice of motion for 26.09.2024.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

Let notice be issued to respondent No.3 – University on payment of process fee by the petitioners.

In the meantime, directions issued by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 18.09.2020 (Annexure P-17) (in paragraphs 10 and 11 in CWP-14902-2020) shall also be applicable to the petitioners in this case.

To be heard along with CWP-14902-2020 and connected matters.

( SHEEL NAGU ) CHIEF JUSTICE

( ANIL KSHETARPAL ) JUDGE

August 23, 2024

#### 118

#### CWP-14902-2020

Adesh University, NH-7, Barnala Road, Bathinda and another

V/S

## State of Punjab and another

Present:- Mr. Gurminder Singh, Senior Advocatewith Mr. Jatinder Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

- 1. Notice of motion.
- 2. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the Respondents.
- 3. The Petitioners are aggrieved by two specific clauses of the notification dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2020, annexed as Annexure P-15 to the petition, issued by the Department of Medical Education & Research (Health-III Branch), Government of Punjab, as regards admission to the MBBS/BDS courses in medical and dental institutes in the State of Punjab from 2020 session onwards.

4.The first of these clauses is Clause 16, titled 'Admission to Private Institutes', which pertains to the distribution of seats in private medical/dental institutions. In particular, the grievance is against 16 (i), which stipulates that theseats in the aforesaid institutions be distributed asunder:-

"Government quota seats: 50%.

Management/Minority quota seats: 50% (including 15% NRI quota)"

5. Mr. Gurminder Singh, learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioners contends that in view of the settled legal position, as explained by the Supreme Court in *P.A. Inamdar v. State of Maharastra (2005) 6 SCC 537*, such appropriation of seats by the State in respect of unaided private educational institutions is impermissible.

6. The second clause that the Petitioners are aggrieved by is Clause 14 (c), titled 'Fee for admission for M.B.B.S. course in Private Institutes/Universities'. The aforesaid seeks to regulate fees in MBBS courses in private institutions and universities, by stipulating as under:

Course	Government Quota 50%	Management Quota 35%
1 <sup>st</sup> year	Rs.3, 50,000/-	Rs.9, 00,000/-
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	Rs.3, 85,000/-	Rs.9, 90,000/-
3rd year	Rs.4, 20,000/-	Rs.10, 80,000/-
4 <sup>th</sup> year	Rs.4, 55,000/-	Rs.11, 70,000/-
5 <sup>th</sup> year	Rs.2, 45000/-	Rs.6, 30,000/-

- 7. Mr. Gurminder Singh, learned Senior Counsel points out that the fee fixed for the government quota seats is just  $1/3^{rd}$  of that chargeable for the management quota seats. He submits that if the appropriation of seats in terms of Clause 16 itself is impermissible, then such regulation of the fee structure for the government quota seats would also be illegal.
- 8. Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, appearing for the Respondents, points out that although there is a 50% government quota stipulated for the seats, there is no interference by the Government with admission to such seats, which is on the basis of the National Eligibility-cum-Entrance Test ('NEET'). According to him, the real controversy pertains to the fees for admission to the 50% government quota.
- 9. Since this is an issue that would have to be examined in some detail, while directing notice of motion to issue in the present petition, 4 weeks' time is granted to the Respondents to file their reply. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.
- 10. It is directed that in the meanwhile, the Petitioners will be permitted to collect the fee prescribed for the management quota even in respect of the 50% government quota seats, subject to the stipulation that the differential amount qua the government quota seats be kept, within two weeks of the completion of the admission process, in a Fixed Deposit by Petitioner No.2 in its own name,

with a copy of the Fixed Deposit Receipt being furnished to the Department of For Subsequent orders see CM-274-CWP-2024, CM-7905-CWP-2024, -- and 1 more.

Medical Education & Research, State of Punjab, and with the further stipulation that the said FDR shall not be encashed by the Petitioners till further orders of this Court.

11. It is further directed that while granting admission to the students, the Petitioners will inform them that the reduction in the amount of fees prescribed for the previous academic year is the subject matter of challenge by the Petitioners in the present petition, and that the differential fees, if any, payable by the students would be subject to the outcome of the present petition.

12. List on 14th December 2020.

13. Order dasti.

(S. MURALIDHAR) **JUDGE** 

18<sup>th</sup> September, 2020

(AVNEESH JHINGAN) JUDGE